



UNIVERSITY OF  
**TORONTO**  
FACULTY OF LAW

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



**DISCRIMINATION LAW:**  
**EQUALITY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR**

2006-2007

Volume 1

Professor Denise Réaume

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Storage

KE  
4395  
.A7R43  
2006  
v.1  
c.1



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto



[https://archive.org/details/discriminationla01raum\\_5](https://archive.org/details/discriminationla01raum_5)

# DISCRIMINATION LAW: EQUALITY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

2006-2007

## Table of Contents

### Volume 1

#### PART I: BACKGROUND

##### 1. CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS LEGISLATION MODELS: Background and General Principles

###### *A. The Origins Of Discrimination Law*

See R. Brian Howe and David Johnson, *Restraining Equality*, Ch 1, pp. 3-25

###### *B. The Administrative Model of Human Rights Protection*

See R. Brian Howe and David Johnson, *Restraining Equality*, Ch 2, pp. 37-69

*Bell Canada v. Canadian Telephone Employees Association*..... 1

###### *C. Interpretive Principles Governing Discrimination Law*

W. Tarnopolsky and W. Pentney, *Discrimination and the Law*, ..... 8

Note..... 9

#### PART II: THE STRUCTURE OF A DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT

##### 2. DEFINING DISCRIMINATION

Note..... 11

###### *A. Equal Treatment without Discrimination Because of...: Discrimination as Prohibited Reasons*

Note ..... 12

*Shakes v. Rex Pak Ltd.*..... 12

*Basi v. C.N.R.* ..... 15

*Gaba v. Lincoln County Humane Society and Frank Hampson*..... 18

*Shaw v. Levac* ..... 22

*Broadfield v. deHavilland/Boeing*..... 28

*Abdolalipour and Murad v. Allied Chemical Canada Ltd.* ..... 36

<i>Friday v. Westfair Foods Ltd</i> .....	37
<i>Exercise: Was it discrimination because of...?</i> .....	E-1 – E-44
 <b>B. From Prohibited Reasons to Prohibited Effect</b>	
William Black, “From Intent to Effect: New Standards in Human Rights” .....	44
Beatrice Vizkelety, <i>Proving Discrimination in Canada</i> .....	49
<i>Ontario H. R. C. &amp; Theresa O’Malley (Vincent) v. Simpsons-Sears (S.C.C.)</i> .....	55
<i>C.N.R. v. Canada (Cndn H. R. C.) (Action Travail des Femmes)</i> .....	61
<i>Lincoln v. Bay Ferries Ltd.</i> .....	63
 <b>C. The Grounds Approach: Interpreting the Enumerated Grounds</b>	
Note .....	66
 <b>a. Prohibited Grounds of Discrimination:</b>	
<b>i. Disability</b>	
<i>Ontario Human Rights Commission v. Vogue Shoes</i> .....	66
<i>Davison v. St Paul Lutheran Home of Melville, Sask.</i> .....	77
<i>Québec (Commission des droits) v. Montreal (Mercier)</i> .....	80
<i>Rogal v. Dalgliesh</i> .....	83
<i>Newfoundland (Human Rights Commission) v. Health Care Corp.(Evans)</i> .....	86
<b>ii. Sex</b>	
<i>Vancouver Rape Relief Society v. British Columbia</i> .....	92
<i>Québec (Comm. des droits de la personne et des droits de la jeunesse) c. Maison des jeunes A-Ma-Baie Inc. (No 2)</i> .....	97
Note .....	101
<b>iii. Family Status</b>	
<i>B. v. Ontario (Human Rights Commission)</i> .....	101
<i>Canada (Attorney General) v. Mossop</i> .....	110
Note, <i>Vriend</i> .....	125
Note, <i>Arzem v. Ontario (No. 1&amp;6)</i> .....	126
 <b>b. Of Pigeonholes and Principles</b>	
<i>Egan v. Canada</i> .....	130

Nitya Iyer, “Categorical Denials: Equality Rights and the Shaping of Social Identity” .....	134
---	-----

#### ***D. Matching Reason, Victim, and Prohibited Ground***

<i>Jubran v. Board of Trustees</i> .....	142
<i>North Vancouver School District No. 44 v. Jubran</i> (B.C.S.C.).....	144
Note .....	145
<i>North Vancouver School District No. 44 v. Jubran</i> (B.C.C.A.) .....	146
<i>Barclay v. Royal Canadian Legion</i> .....	152

#### ***E. Spheres within which Discrimination is Prohibited***

<i>Gould v. Yukon Order of Pioneers</i> .....	154
<i>Nixon v. Vancouver Rape Relief Society</i> .....	175
<i>Konieczna v. Owners Strata Plan NW2489 (No. 2)</i> .....	182
<i>British Columbia v. Crockford</i> .....	185
<i>Braithwaite v. Ontario (Attorney General) (No.1)</i> .....	190
Case study: Ontario Shelter Allowance complaint .....	193

